

Portfolio Review & Commentary

2nd Quarter 2021

Investment Commentary

Performance Review

Portfolio Statistics

Fidelis Composite

Excelsis Model

Factor Rotation Model

Bond Composite

Performance vs. Benchmark

Performance Evaluation Tool

Wallick Investments Fidelis Composite



Overall Morningstar Rating™

**for risk-adjusted performance
among 139 Mid-Cap Blend products as of June 30, 2021.†**



WALLICK INVESTMENTS, LLC

RIA ■ Strategic Moral Investing

Disciplined, faith- and factor-based investment process designed to achieve top-quartile performance without moral indifference.



1 of only 7
RIA firms in SC
to receive Morningstar
Separate Account
Ratings™

Quarterly Commentary	2 – 5
Wallick Investments Composite & Model performance line graph	6
Investment Portfolios & Benchmarks	7
Global Investments Performance Standards Compliance Disclosures	8
Wallick Investments Fidelis Composite	9 – 10
Definitions	11
Wallick Investments Excelsis Model	12
Wallick Investments Factor Rotation Model	13
Wallick Investment Bond Composite	14
Wallick Investments Composite & Model performance bar graph	15
Investment Performance Evaluation Tool	16
The Fiduciary Standard	back inside cover

Abbreviations / Data providers

WI: Wallick Investments, LLC
MS: Morningstar®
ETFs: Exchange Traded Funds

GIPS®: Global Investment Performance Standards
CFA®: Chartered Financial Analyst®
RIA: Registered Investment Advisory Firm

† See below.
* See page 7.
+ See page 7.

All diversification statistics, price-to-earnings, price-to-book, price-to-sales, price-to-cash-flow ratios and International allocation percentages are obtained from Morningstar®. All benchmark performance data is acquired from Morningstar® or FOLIOfn. Wallick Investments calculates the average Morningstar rating™ and average Zacks™ rating based on the individual ratings of each security within each Wallick Investments composite and/or model portfolio. All other information is obtained from FOLIOfn, Inc. Data is believed to be reliable and accurate, however, neither Wallick Investments, LLC nor our data providers will be held liable for errors.

The Morningstar Rating™ for stocks is calculated by comparing a stock's current market price with Morningstar's estimate of the stock's fair value. Morningstar's rating system also includes an uncertainty adjustment. Therefore, it is more difficult for a company to earn a 5-star rating the more uncertain Morningstar® is of the security's fair value estimate. Stocks are ranked from 5 (high expected return) to 1 (low expected return).

The Zacks Rank™ is a proprietary quantitative model that uses trends in earnings estimate revisions and earnings-per-share surprises to classify stocks into five groups: #1 = Strong Buy; #2 = Buy; #3 = Hold; #4 = Sell and #5 = Strong Sell.

† The Morningstar Rating™ for funds, or "star rating", is calculated for separate accounts with at least a three-year history. It is calculated based on a Morningstar Risk-Adjusted Return measure that accounts for variation in a managed product's monthly excess performance, placing more emphasis on downward variations and rewarding consistent performance. The top 10% of products in each product category receive 5 stars, the next 22.5% receive 4 stars, the next 35% receive 3 stars, the next 22.5% receive 2 stars, and the bottom 10% receive 1 star. The Overall Morningstar Rating for a managed product is derived from a weighted average of the performance figures associated with its three-, five-, and 10-year (if applicable) Morningstar Rating metrics.

© 2021 Morningstar, Inc. All rights reserved. The information contained herein: (1) is proprietary to Morningstar and/or its content providers; (2) may not be copied or distributed; and (3) is not warranted to be accurate, complete, or timely. Neither Morningstar nor its content providers are responsible for any damages or losses arising from any use of this information. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

Wallick Investments Fidelis Composite



Overall Morningstar Rating™
for risk-adjusted performance
out of 139 Mid-Cap Blend
products as of
June 30, 2021.†

Welcome

Wallick Investments specializes in separate account portfolio management which honors both faith and science. We add value to our clients' accounts by filtering all equity portfolios for Christian values and outperforming our industry peers. We strive to provide our clients with the time and confidence to pursue their dreams as we make the most of their investments.



Continued Strength

The stock market's impressive returns continued through the end of the second quarter. The S&P 500 is now up almost 70% since last year's historical low March 31, 2020. In the second quarter of 2021, market leadership changed again with Growth and Large-Cap company stocks outperforming Value and Small-Cap stocks. Yet, according to Morningstar® year-to-date, the average Small-Cap Value mutual fund is still outperforming the average Large-Cap Growth fund by over 5.5%.

Wallick Investments' Fidelis separate account received a 4-Star Morningstar Rating™ for 3-year, 5-year, and Overall performance among 139 Mid-Cap Blend products as of June 30, 2021. This makes the 22nd quarter out of 27 that Fidelis has received four or more overall stars from Morningstar.

Our newest portfolio, WI Factor Rotation, also did quite well: up over 24% year-to-date. WI Tactical Asset Allocation's outperformance contributed to most clients' accounts outperforming comparative benchmarks for 2Q2021.

Currently, we still have some concern about inflation and lower than average future economic growth due to rising debt levels, but we do not want to underestimate the strength of the markets or the Federal Reserve's ability to provide liquidity to the markets in times of distress. Today, most of our client accounts have a slight overweight to stocks relative to their benchmarks. We are comfortable with this position currently, but we will continue to monitor updated leading economic data.

Left to right:

J. Wade Stinnette, Jr.
Principal and
Senior Portfolio Manager

Daniel T. Wallick
Managing Partner and
Chief Investment Officer

Susan H. Wallick
Principal and Director,
Communication
and Marketing

Jacob D. Wallick
Jr. Portfolio Manager and
Managing Partner Associate

wallickinvestments.com





2Q2021 Investment Commentary

At Wallick Investments at least 70% of our asset allocation and equity (stock) factor exposure decisions are made strategically. Our strategic asset allocations are based upon an individual client's risk tolerance and time horizon. Our strategic factor exposure is based upon optimized long-term weightings of each factor. The other 30% of our asset allocation and equity factor exposure decisions are tactical and made based upon our top-down economic and market perspective. Although a smaller percentage of our decisions are tactical, we believe it is important enough to share our views. All return information is annualized percentages unless otherwise noted.

Key data points in our Tactical Asset Allocation and Factor Rotation analysis

What does the data that we monitor regularly, and which we believe to be most important in foreseeing economic conditions, currently say?

- The Conference Board Leading Economic Index (LEI) was up 1.3% in May and up 4.9% over the past 6 months. The six-month reading indicates economic expansion.

The following are the largest contributors to May's monthly reading:

- 0.09% Building Permits
- 0.23% ISM New Orders Index contributed
- 0.15% Leading Credit Index contributed
- 0.89% State unemployment
- 0.17% Interest rate spread

- The Chicago Fed National Activity Index (CFNAI) was 0.29 for May and 0.81 for the three month moving average, indicating above average economic activity.

The contribution of each of the major index categories were as follows:

- 0.29 Production
- 0.16 Employment contributed
- 0.18 Personal consumption contributed
- 0.02 Sales, Orders and Inventories contributed

- Most recently, the National Financial Conditions Index (NFCI) was -0.70. Negative values indicate looser credit conditions—a hallmark of more buoyant financial markets.



Wallick Investments Tactical Asset Allocation and Factor Rotation conclusions:

Wallick Investments' overweight to equity 2009 to 2019 was very beneficial to our clients. However, the stock market's fall in 1Q2020 and subsequent rapid rise thereafter has given us reason to reassess our asset allocation decisions. We are thankful our strategy indicators did not signal larger asset allocation adjustments.

In response to the 2020 Coronavirus outbreak and economic instability, the US government and the Federal Reserve flooded the US economy with unprecedented stability payments and loans. The long-term effects will likely be negative but thus far, it has been supportive of risk-based asset investing. Current economic data suggests we should overweight equities/stocks and favor the Momentum style factor.

Wallick Investments' Composites & Model Performance Review

Wallick Investments makes security-selection decisions through a non-emotional, bottom-up, "factor-based" process. Clients own a portfolio(s) of stocks which have a proprietary blend of multiple factors that have shown to outperform the overall market on a risk-adjusted basis over the long term. In addition to adding value through long-term outperformance, and moral screening of companies in our portfolios, Wallick Investments also adds value by being transparent in performance reporting. When clients are aware of where they stand and the cyclicity of markets, they are better able to handle volatility and avoid poor investment decisions based on emotion. Of course, past results are no guarantee of future returns.

Performance results of traditional market indices, our composites, and custom-weighted benchmarks based on traditional indices, Morningstar® style categories and factor-based indices as published by Morningstar, are displayed below. The weighted traditional indices' benchmarks and weighted-factor benchmarks are weighted according to target factor allocations for each Wallick Investments' composite. The weighted Morningstar Style benchmarks are weighted according to actual allocations at the end of the quarter.

WI Tactical Asset Allocation Comparative Performance	2Q2021	1-yr.	ITD		
WI Tactical Asset Allocation Model (inception 6/1/2019)	7.84	21.45	16.79		
<i>Custom Benchmark</i> (p. 7)	2.38	2.23	5.32		
Portfolio Performance	2Q2021	1-yr.	3-yr.	5-yr.	10-yr.
WI Bond Composite (inception 4/1/2008)	3.58	1.25	3.51	2.34	2.48
<i>iShares Core US Aggregate Bond ETF (AGG)</i>	1.82	-0.38	5.26	2.92	3.24
<i>iShares Core International Aggregate Bond ETF (IAGG)</i>	0.38	-0.04	4.10	3.00	n/a
<i>iShares JP Morgan USD Emerg. Mkts. Bond ETF (EMB)</i>	4.43	7.19	6.61	4.33	4.97

WI Fidelis Comparative Performance	2Q2021	1-yr.	3-yr.	5-yr.
WI Fidelis Composite (inception 1/1/12)	4.81	44.04	15.80	16.43
Weighted traditional indices benchmark*	6.98	43.53	15.55	15.34
Weighted Morningstar® style benchmark	6.96	48.90	15.98	16.26
Weighted factor benchmark	6.51	39.92	15.39	15.68
WI Excelsis Model Comparative Performance	2Q2021	1-yr.	3-yr.	5-yr.
WI Excelsis Model (inception 7/1/16)	4.42	40.33	11.82	13.46
Weighted traditional indices benchmark*	6.98	43.53	15.55	15.34
Weighted Morningstar® style benchmark	6.96	48.90	15.98	16.26
Weighted factor benchmark	6.51	39.92	15.39	15.68
Select Multi-factor Peer Performance (with >30% SMID allocation)	2Q2021	1-yr.	3-yr.	5-yr.
Hartford Multi-factor US Equity	6.75	36.49	10.94	13.52
Xtrackers Russell 1000 Cmprhsv Fac	5.93	40.40	13.28	13.09
JPMorgan Diversified Return US Eq	6.44	41.71	13.27	13.04
FlexShares Mstar US Mkt Factors Tilt	7.26	51.89	16.46	16.63
iShares Edge MSCI Multifactor USA	5.72	39.35	12.59	14.27
AQR Large Cap Multi-Style I	6.33	42.24	13.52	14.97
Traditional Indices	2Q2021	1-yr.	3-yr.	5-yr.
Vanguard 500	8.59	40.83	18.66	17.62
Vanguard Mid-Cap	7.58	46.90	16.49	15.75
Vanguard Small-Cap	5.60	56.51	14.75	15.96
Vanguard FTSE All-World ex. US	5.33	35.88	9.78	11.17
iShares Russell 3000	8.19	43.89	18.52	17.68
iShares Russell 1000 Value	5.16	43.41	12.25	11.69
Morningstar Style Indices	2Q2021	1-yr.	3-yr.	5-yr.
Morningstar US Large Core	7.86	41.47	18.96	17.16
Morningstar US Large Growth	15.42	42.02	24.95	24.44
Morningstar US Large Value	3.82	34.91	11.36	11.25
Morningstar US Mid Core	6.75	48.82	15.77	14.59
Morningstar US Mid Growth	11.33	43.24	24.79	22.48
Morningstar US Mid Value	4.19	53.45	9.12	11.35
Morningstar US Small Core	2.52	54.48	10.63	12.96
Morningstar US Small Growth	4.79	43.51	17.33	19.37
Morningstar US Small Value	5.41	75.88	8.48	10.84

WI Factor Rotation Comparative Performance	2Q2021	1-yr.	ITD	
WI Factor Rotation Model (inception 6/1/19)	3.44	63.46	31.26	
<i>Equally-weighted factor benchmark⁺</i>	<i>6.07</i>	<i>38.67</i>	<i>20.40</i>	
<i>Weighted traditional indices benchmark*</i>	<i>6.98</i>	<i>43.53</i>	<i>23.06</i>	
Factor-based Indices	2Q2021	1-yr.	3-yr.	5-yr.
<i>iShares Edge MSCI Min Vol</i>	<i>6.77</i>	<i>23.42</i>	<i>13.67</i>	<i>11.95</i>
<i>iShares Edge MSCI USA Momentum</i>	<i>7.93</i>	<i>32.90</i>	<i>17.84</i>	<i>19.24</i>
<i>iShares Edge MSCI USA Quality</i>	<i>9.50</i>	<i>40.56</i>	<i>18.78</i>	<i>17.08</i>
<i>iShares Edge MSCI USA Value</i>	<i>3.08</i>	<i>48.64</i>	<i>11.30</i>	<i>14.09</i>
<i>SPDR® S&P Dividend ETF</i>	<i>4.39</i>	<i>38.12</i>	<i>12.88</i>	<i>11.50</i>

Wallick Investments' Composite & Model performance conclusions:

In 2Q2021, the US outperformed international markets, Value trailed Growth, and Small- and Mid-Cap trailed Large-Cap stocks. Quality was the strongest equity factor and Value was the weakest. Our cautious tilt towards Value and small companies was a headwind. Fidelis has continued to outperform its benchmarks over the longterm and our Factor Rotation model has provided stellar performance since inception.

We know investing themes come in and out of favor, but over the long term, the factors Quality, Value, Momentum and Low Volatility stand out. We continue to have the utmost confidence in our investment processes and believe these factors reward patient investors. Our conviction is supported by an abundance of academic research, historical performance, and a rush to create new "factor-based" ETF products.

Wallick Investments' Strategic Moral Investing Commentary

Wallick Investments' stock-selection processes integrate faith-based values and investment science. The biggest concern with such a strategy is what might be given up in investment performance; most studies suggest very little. During some time periods, there may be underperformance, and in others, outperformance. To evaluate the cost or benefit of our strategy, we monitor two benchmarks: the S&P 500 Catholic Values Index (CATH), which utilizes a moral negative screening strategy of the S&P 500, and the Inspire 100 ETF (BIBL), which is based on an index that utilizes a tighter negative moral screening and incorporates positive faith-based social impact screening. The results below show how the S&P 500 Catholic Values Index (CATH) and the Inspire 100 ETF (BIBL) have performed relative to the iShares Core S&P 500.


	3 mo.	1-yr.	3-yr.	5-yr.
<i>S&P 500 Catholic Values ETF (CATH) vs. iShares Core S&P 500 ETF (IVV)</i>	<i>0.31</i>	<i>0.68</i>	<i>0.10</i>	<i>0.25</i>
<i>Inspire 100 ETF (BIBL) vs. iShares Core S&P 500 ETF (IVV)</i>	<i>0.80</i>	<i>1.53</i>	<i>0.29</i>	<i>n/a</i>

Performance data as of 06/30/2021

Wallick Investments' Strategic Moral Investing conclusion:

Moral filtering outperformed in all four time periods shown above. Most deviation in performance of the iShares Core S&P 500 ETF versus the S&P 500 Catholic Values Index (CATH) is due to the relative performance of large pharmaceutical companies.

Performance (US dollar cumulative)



Investment Portfolios & Benchmarks

WI FIDELIS COMPOSITE (WIF) is a Mid-Cap Blend portfolio with a concentration on capital appreciation and income, and outperforming its benchmark in all market environments. WI Fidelis is a core, “all-weather” portfolio consisting of stocks with high exposure to the style factors Quality, Value, Momentum and Low Volatility which all have shown a tendency to outperform the overall market. The actual weightings will be either close to evenly weighted or optimized. All WIF holdings pass WI ethical screens.

Inception: January 2012

*** CUSTOM CORE BENCHMARK (CCBMF) since 1/1/2019:**

48% Vanguard 500 Index Fund (VFIAX) / 22% Russell 1000 Value Index (IWD) / 15% Vanguard Small-Cap Index (VSMAX) / 10% Vanguard FSTE All-World ex-US Index (VFWIX) / 5% Vanguard Mid-Cap Index (VIMAX)

CUSTOM CORE BENCHMARK prior to 1/1/2019: 50% Vanguard 500 Index Fund (VFIAX) / 10% Vanguard Mid-Cap Index (VIMAX) / 30% Vanguard Small-Cap Index (VSMAX) / 10% Vanguard FSTE All-World ex-US Index (VFWIX)

COMPOSITE INDEX: Russell 3000 / iShares Russell 3000 ETF (IWM)

WI EXCELSIS MODEL (WIE) is best defined as Mid-Cap Blend portfolio consisting of stocks with high exposure to the same factors as WI Fidelis. However, in addition to all WIE holdings passing WI ethical screens, companies in the WI Excelsis portfolio demonstrate a higher level of commitment to Strategic Moral Investing (SMI) principles: traditional family values, corporate stewardship, human dignity, and the common good. **Inception: July 2016**

*** CUSTOM CORE BENCHMARK (CCBME):** 48% Vanguard 500 Index Fund (VFIAX) / 22% Russell 1000 Value Index (IWD) / 15% Vanguard Small-Cap Index (VSMAX) / 10% Vanguard FSTE All-World ex-US Index (VFWIX) / 5% Vanguard Mid-Cap Index (VIMAX)

MODEL INDEX: S&P 500 / Vanguard 500 Index Fund Admiral Shares (VFIAX)

WI FACTOR ROTATION MODEL (WIFR) is best defined as tactical with a goal to outperform its benchmark in all market environments. WIFR is a core, “all-weather” portfolio consisting of stocks with high exposure to one of our five major factors. The specific factor will be dependent on the latest economic data. For all but the most aggressive investors, this portfolio should be used in conjunction with another WI core portfolio. All holdings pass WI ethical screens. **Inception: June 2019**

*** CUSTOM CORE BENCHMARK (CCBMFR):** 48% Vanguard 500 Index Fund (VFIAX) / 22% Russell 1000 Value Index (IWD) / 15% Vanguard Small-Cap Index (VSMAX) / 10% Vanguard FSTE All-World ex-US Index (VFWIX) / 5% Vanguard Mid-Cap Index (VIMAX)

+ CUSTOM FACTOR BENCHMARK: 20% iShares MSCI USA Momentum Factor ETF (MTUM) / 20% iShares Edge MSCI USA Value Factor (VLUE) / 20% iShares Edge MSCI USA Quality (QUAL) / 20% iShares MSCI USA Minimum Volatility (USMV) / 20% SPDR S&P Dividend (SDY)

MODEL INDEX: Russell 3000 / iShares Russell 3000 ETF (IWM)

WI TACTICAL ASSET ALLOCATION MODEL (WITAA) is a tactical portfolio best described as a dynamic holding consisting of securities representing high-level assets best suited for the current business cycle based on macro-economic data. All holdings pass WI ethical screens. **Inception: June 2019**

CUSTOM BENCHMARK: 92% iShares US Aggregate Bond Fund (AGG) / 5% Barclays iPath DJ UBS Commodities ETF (DJP) / 3% SPDR Barclays 1–3 month T-Bill ETF (BIL)

WI BOND COMPOSITE (WIB) is best defined as a fixed income portfolio consisting of primarily Government Bonds (traditional, inflation-protected and international) and Corporates (both US and international). The durations, average credit quality and actual allocations are managed based on interest rate expectations with market and economic indicators. **Inception: April 2008**

COMPOSITE INDEX: iShares Core US Aggregate Bond ETF (AGG)

Former WI Portfolio Strategies

WI CORE GROWTH COMPOSITE – Inception: April 2008.
Closed: December 2019

WI DIVIDEND FOCUSED COMPOSITE (formerly known as *WI Core Value*) – Inception: April 2008. Closed: December 2019

WI CORE GROWTH AND VALUE MODEL – Inception: July 2005.
Closed: December 2019

WI SMALL-CAP – Inception: July 2005. Closed: December 2019

WI INTERNATIONAL MODEL – Inception: July 2005.
Closed: December 2019

WI LOW VOLATILITY/QUALITY DISTRIBUTION MODEL –
Inception: October 2015. Closed: June 2018.

WI AGGRESSIVE COMPOSITE – Inception: June 2013.
Closed: December 2019

WI HEDGE COMPOSITE – Inception: April 2008.
Closed: December 2019

WI PREFERRED STOCK COMPOSITE – Inception: April 2012.
Closed: December 2019

WI ABSOLUTE RETURN COMPOSITE – Inception: January 2012.
Closed: June 2013



Global Investment Performance Standards® compliance disclosures

Wallick Investments, LLC claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS®. Wallick Investments, LLC has not been independently verified.

1. Wallick Investments, LLC is a fee only South Carolina Registered Investment Advisory firm founded in June of 2005 and began claiming GIPS® compliance in July of 2012 for performance starting in April of 2008. Our Managing Partner, Daniel Wallick, is responsible for GIPS® compliance and will review all marketing pieces before they are published.

2. All accounts that contain investment guidelines that allow us the ability to manage the assets according to the applicable product mandate are considered “discretionary” for purposes of determining composite membership. Accounts which are legally non-discretionary or have restrictions that make it difficult for us to manage according to a composite mandate are considered “non-discretionary.” All discretionary accounts are included in a composite.

Prior to creating composites in April 2008, Wallick Investments, LLC used models to manage client accounts. Due to timing of portfolio changes, there may have been variations between clients’ performance and model performance. Historical model performance does not represent actual client performance. Composite performance does represent actual performance of client accounts.

3. A complete list and description of all of the firm’s composites is available upon request.

4. Wallick Investments, LLC has created custom benchmarks for each composite. The custom benchmarks are intended to closely resemble the actual composite components. Widely recognized indexes are provided for both models and composites.

5. Wallick Investments, LLC uses Advent Axys® software for our portfolio performance accounting.

6. Securities within portfolios are priced at least monthly and when significant cash flows occur. Prices are obtained from our custodians FOLIO^{fn}, Inc. and Charles Schwab. Axys® calculates a gross asset-weighted return using beginning-of-period values on an adjusted capital basis.

7. Results are presented both gross and net of fees, including transaction costs. Fees include both advisory and custodian. Actual net returns may vary based on negotiated fees. All graphs are presented gross of fees.

8. Trade-date valuation is utilized.

9. Management fees are accounted for on a quarterly, cash basis on the 1st month of the quarter and are billed in advance.

10. Wallick Investments, LLC’s standard advisory fees are listed in our “ADV Brochure” and “Investment Management Overview.” Both of these documents are available upon request and at WallickInvestments.com.

11. Policies for valuing portfolios, calculating performance, and preparing compliant presentations are available upon request.

12. Valuations and returns are computed and expressed in US dollars.

13. Results assume reinvestment of dividends and interest, unless withdrawn by the client.

14. “Dispersion” is the equally-weighted standard deviation of return for accounts in the composite for the entire designated time period.

15. Unless otherwise noted in this presentation, all performance for periods greater than 1 year are annualized.

16. Past performance is no guarantee of future results and the possibility of loss exists.

17. Data is believed to be reliable and accurate, however, neither Wallick Investments, LLC nor our data providers will be held liable for errors. Due to rounding, differing calculation methods, differing data download dates, input error, etc., data may vary from quarter to quarter. Material data variances discovered will be notated and updated with a delta/change superscript (Δ) on the page where the correction is required.

18. Wallick Investments, LLC’s composites include a mandate to comply with the USSCB Investment Guidelines. This mandate is not included in their benchmarks.

Wallick Investments Fidelis Composite

Global Investment
Performance
Standards®
Presentation

	Gross Return	Net Return	Core Custom Benchmark Return*	Russell 3000	#Portfolios	Dispersion	Total Assets (million)	% of Firm Assets
2008 (April 1 - Dec. 31)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2009	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2010	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2011	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2012 (April 1 - Dec. 31)	14.37	14.08	16.35	16.44	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2013	38.20	37.04	30.52	33.01	17	2.01	2.35	8.51
2014	14.36	13.20	10.74	12.39	32	3.10	2.32	9.13
2015	-2.24	-3.40	-1.30	0.33	34	1.31	2.57	8.06
2016	13.99	12.83	13.50	12.64	37	1.95	4.22	8.06
2017	21.83	20.67	19.71	21.47	32	3.79	6.53	7.51
2018	-5.75	-6.91	-7.22	-5.40	29	1.59	5.66	9.85
2019	30.20	29.04	28.32	30.66	158	1.78	15.11	24.22
2020	11.73	10.73	14.32	20.66	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2021 2Q	4.81	4.56	6.98	8.19	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2021 YTD	16.10	15.60	15.20	15.01	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
1 Year	44.04	43.04	43.53	43.84	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Annualized 3 Years	15.80	14.64	15.55	18.52	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Annualized 3 Years Standard Deviation (Ex-Post)	19.10	n/a	19.82	19.39	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Annualized 5 Years	16.43	15.27	15.34	17.77	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Annualized 5 Years Standard Deviation (Ex-Post)	15.27	n/a	16.04	15.62	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Annualized since Inception	15.65	14.49	14.18	16.01	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Since Inception Annualized Standard Deviation	13.64	n/a	13.99	13.53	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

DATA UPDATE/CORRECTION: 2012 – 2018 “Core Custom Benchmark Return” column numbers (above) in the 1Q2019 – 3Q2019 editions of the WI Portfolio Review were based on the former WI Fidelis Custom Core Benchmark formula (the comparison formula used prior to 1Q2019). Return numbers above now reflect the current WI Fidelis Custom Core Benchmark formula* (the comparison formula utilized, beginning with the 1Q2019 WI Portfolio Review). See p. 7.

Supplemental Information


WI Fidelis vs. Custom Core Benchmark* (since Jan. 2012) & Russell 3000/iwv

Morningstar™ Rating

3 Yr. MS™ Rating † ★★★★★
5 Yr. MS™ Rating † ★★★★★
Overall MS™ Rating † ★★★★★

Portfolio Statistics

	WIF	CCBMF*
Price/Earnings	12.53	22.68
Price/Book	2.09	3.17
Price/Sales	1.06	2.41
Price/Cash Flow	8.19	14.72
Avg. Cap.	11.06	72.83
Volatility	19.08	19.45
Dividend Yield	1.65	1.45
Avg. MS™ Rating†	2.93	n/a
Avg. Zacks™ Rating	2.30	n/a
Quarters of outperformance	21/38	



Diversification (style)

	Value	Blend	Growth
Large	17	17	6
Mid	7	6	1
Small	17	24	3

Third-party Analysis

	WIF	R3000/IWV	Cat. Avg.
ROIC	11.22	14.14	8.81
Wide Moat Coverage	19.72	51.78	8.52
Narrow Moat Coverage	49.57	38.79	62.74
No Moat Coverage	30.71	9.43	28.74
MS Financial Health Grade	B-	B+	B-
MS Profitability Grade	C+	C+	C
MS Growth Grade	B-	B-	C+
Cash Return %	29.26	34.46	15.91
Free Cashflow Y'ld ex-fin's	0.08	0.03	0.04
D/C Ratio	39.52	42.89	41.44

Diversification (percentages)

SECTORS	WIF	CCBMF*
Consumer Defensives	6.68	6.32
Healthcare	9.07	13.93
Utilities	1.59	3.09
Communication Services	3.31	8.66
Energy	6.78	3.69
Industrials	15.86	11.05
Technology	22.33	18.82
Basic Materials	7.18	3.44
Consumer Cycles	10.72	10.82
Financial Services	13.79	15.71
Real Estate	2.68	4.47
International	24.95	10.91

Security-Selection (criteria)

Corporate Growth
Corporate Profitability
Corporate Financial Health
Corporate Stewardship
Liquidity Ratios
Stock Valuation Ratios
Relative Strength
Earnings and Price Momentum
Ethical Screen



WI Fidelis Composite Ratio Grid

Below are some of the most popular ratios used in portfolio analysis— WI Fidelis Composite’s ratio score and how our composite would rank (percentiles) by category and overall against other separate account managers. The lower the percentile the better. For example, WI Fidelis’ **0.79** Sharpe ratio ranks in the **19th** percentile among Mid-Cap Core Separate account managers and **9th** percentile against Mid-Cap Core Mutual Funds. This means our Sharpe ratio is better than **81%** all separate account managers and **91%** of mutual fund managers in this category. The “weights” below each category indicate what percentage of the WI Fidelis Composite falls within that category.

Separate Accounts


3 Year	WI Fidelis	Large Value	Large Core	Large Growth	Mid Value	Mid Core	Mid Growth	Small Value	Small Core	Small Growth	Overall
Weights (%)		17	17	6	7	6	1	17	24	3	100
Performance	15.58	21	67	92	11	33	85	8	19	73	32
Sharpe	0.79	22	71	92	5	19	76	2	5	44	26
Sortino	1.17	26	72	93	7	20	79	3	7	52	28
Alpha	-2.00	21	64	91	6	16	77	2	6	50	25
Treynor	14.81	22	66	92	5	18	78	2	5	48	26
Information	-0.48	20	54	90	24	44	89	31	39	83	40
Upside	88.55	61	78	89	81	92	92	95	91	92	82
Downside	92.88	20	33	56	7	2	37	1	1	16	14
Batting Avg.	50.00	5	26	73	14	12	63	8	7	42	16

5 Year	WI Fidelis	Large Value	Large Core	Large Growth	Mid Value	Mid Core	Mid Growth	Small Value	Small Core	Small Growth	Overall
Weights (%)		17	17	6	7	6	1	17	24	3	100
Performance	16.30	12	53	90	7	27	85	10	32	82	31
Sharpe	1.00	8	54	88	5	8	69	1	1	43	19
Sortino	1.49	13	62	91	6	9	76	2	5	56	23
Alpha	-0.42	7	45	88	4	9	74	3	7	57	20
Treynor	15.82	8	47	88	4	9	73	2	7	56	20
Information	-0.26	12	45	89	15	30	88	33	55	90	40
Upside	91.85	42	68	89	66	80	91	93	88	96	74
Downside	90.88	14	22	50	10	2	41	1	1	18	11
Batting Avg.	55.00	1	11	58	2	3	48	1	1	30	7

Mutual Funds

3 Year	WI Fidelis	Large Value	Large Core	Large Growth	Mid Value	Mid Core	Mid Growth	Small Value	Small Core	Small Growth	Overall
Weights (%)		17	17	6	7	6	1	17	24	3	100
Performance	15.58	9	69	97	4	22	92	4	4	73	25
Sharpe	0.79	9	70	96	1	9	81	1	1	48	22
Sortino	1.17	9	74	97	1	9	84	1	1	53	23
Alpha	-2.00	8	58	95	1	8	81	1	1	52	20
Treynor	14.81	8	61	96	1	8	82	1	1	51	20
Information	-0.48	8	42	94	20	27	95	20	20	87	29
Upside	88.55	60	85	98	91	87	99	91	91	98	83
Downside	92.88	12	16	52	1	2	41	1	1	16	9
Batting Avg.	50.00	3	20	77	2	7	69	2	2	48	12

5 Year	WI Fidelis	Large Value	Large Core	Large Growth	Mid Value	Mid Core	Mid Growth	Small Value	Small Core	Small Growth	Overall
Weights (%)		17	17	6	7	6	1	17	24	3	100
Performance	16.30	3	52	96	7	13	92	7	7	83	23
Sharpe	1.00	1	52	95	1	4	72	1	1	48	17
Sortino	1.49	3	60	96	1	5	79	1	1	58	19
Alpha	-0.42	1	34	94	1	4	77	1	1	59	14
Treynor	15.82	1	38	95	1	4	76	1	1	58	15
Information	-0.26	4	33	95	15	17	94	15	15	88	24
Upside	91.85	32	78	98	87	63	97	87	87	97	74
Downside	90.88	10	11	43	1	2	40	1	1	16	8
Batting Avg.	55.00	1	7	68	1	3	42	1	1	39	7



Definitions

■ The Sharpe Ratio

The Sharpe Ratio is a risk-adjusted measure of performance. It is calculated by using excess return over a risk-free rate divided by the standard deviation (total risk) to determine reward per unit of risk.

■ The Sortino Ratio

The Sortino Ratio is also a risk-adjusted measure of performance. It is calculated by using excess return over a risk-free rate divided by the standard deviation (total risk) of negative returns.

■ The Alpha Ratio

The Alpha Ratio is a measure of the difference between a portfolio's actual returns and its expected performance, given its level of risk as measured by beta (market risk). A positive alpha figure indicates the portfolio has performed better than its beta would predict. In contrast, a negative alpha indicates the portfolio has underperformed, given the expectations established by beta.

■ The Treynor Ratio

The Treynor Ratio is a measure of efficiency utilizing the relationship between annualized risk-adjusted return and risk. The Treynor Ratio utilizes beta instead of the standard deviation.

■ Beta

A measure of a portfolio's sensitivity to market movement.

■ The Information Ratio

The Information Ratio is a measure of portfolio returns in excess of the returns of a benchmark (usually an index) to the volatility of those returns. The information ratio measures a portfolio manager's ability to generate excess returns relative to a benchmark, but also attempts to identify the consistency of the portfolio manager. A higher information ratio indicates better consistency.

■ Upside Capture Ratio

Upside Capture Ratio measures a manager's performance relative to its primary benchmark in up markets. For example, if the ratio is 110%, the manager has captured 110% of the up-market and therefore outperformed the market on the upside.

■ Downside Capture Ratio

Downside Capture Ratio measures manager's performance relative to its primary benchmark in down markets. For example, if the ratio is 110%, the manager has captured 110% of the down-market and therefore underperformed the market on the downside.

■ Batting Average

The Batting Average is the percentage of months in which a portfolio outperforms or matches its benchmark.

■ Source: Morningstar Office

■ Composite Performance

Composite performance is the actual average asset-weighted return of all accounts managed according to a set strategy.

■ Model Performance

Model performance is the performance of a hypothetical account. It does not represent performance of an actual account or account(s). *Although Folio Investments client accounts may be subscribed to managed models, actual performance will vary due to timing of portfolio changes and tax considerations.*

Wallick Investments Excelsis Model




¹ Vocation of the Business Leader:
A Reflection, page 4
www.stthomas.edu/cathstudies/cst

Our WI Excelsis portfolio is a core, “all weather” holding consisting of stocks of companies which demonstrate a commitment to corporate stewardship, human dignity, the common good, and a vision of a business as a community of persons focused on: producing goods and services that meet genuine human needs while taking responsibility for social and environmental costs of production; organizing productive and meaningful work recognizing dignity of employees and their right and duty to flourish in their work; and using resources wisely to create both profit and well-being, to produce sustainable wealth and to distribute it justly (a just wage and ownership for employees, just prices for customers and suppliers, just taxes for the community, and just returns for owners).¹

* See page 7 for Custom Core Benchmark and further information.

WI Excelsis vs. Custom Core Benchmark* (since July 2016)



Security-Selection (criteria)

Corporate Growth
Corporate Profitability
Corporate Financial Health
Corporate Stewardship
Stock Valuation Ratios
Relative Strength
Earnings and Price Momentum
Volatility Ratios
Ethical Screen

Diversification (style)

	Value	Blend	Growth
Large	17	17	6
Mid	7	6	1
Small	17	24	3

Portfolio Return (percentages)

	WIEX	CCBME*
2Q2021	4.42	6.98
YTD	15.63	15.20
2020	4.63	14.32
2019	25.56	28.32
2018	-5.87	-7.22
2017	23.12	19.71
1 Year	40.33	43.53
3 Years	39.72	54.28
3 Years (ann.)	11.82	15.55
5 Years	87.98	104.13
5 Years (ann.)	13.46	15.34
Quarters of outperformance	7/21	

Portfolio Statistics

	WIEX	CCBME*
Price/Earnings	12.53	22.68
Price/Book	2.09	3.17
Price/Sales	1.06	2.41
Price/Cash Flow	8.19	14.72
Avg. Capitalization	11.06	72.83
Volatility	19.08	19.45
Dividend Yield	1.65	1.45
Avg. MS™ Rating†	2.93	n/a
Avg. Zacks™ Rating	2.30	n/a

Diversification (percentages)

Sectors	WIEX	CCBME*
Consumer Defensives	6.68	6.32
Healthcare	9.07	13.93
Utilities	1.59	3.09
Communication Services	3.31	8.66
Energy	6.78	3.69
Industrials	15.86	11.05
Technology	22.33	18.82
Basic Materials	7.18	3.44
Consumer Cycles	10.72	10.82
Financial Services	13.79	15.71
Real Estate	2.68	4.47
International	24.95	10.91




Wallick Investments Factor Rotation Model

Our WI Factor Rotation's overall portfolio style is best defined as tactical. The Factor Rotation Model's goal is to outperform its benchmark in all market environments. WI Factor Rotation portfolio is a core, "all-weather" holding consisting of stocks having high exposure to one of our five major factors. The specific factor will be dependent on the latest economic data. For all but the most aggressive investors, this portfolio should be used in conjunction with another WI core portfolio.

* See page 7 for Custom Core Benchmark and further information.

+ See page 7 for Custom Factor Benchmark.

WI Factor Rotation vs. Custom Core Benchmark* (since June 2019)



Security-Selection (criteria)

Corporate Growth
Corporate Profitability
Corporate Financial Health
Corporate Stewardship
Stock Valuation Ratios
Relative Strength
Earnings and Price Momentum
Volatility Ratios
Ethical Screen

Diversification (style)*

	Value	Blend	Growth
Large	15	5	5
Mid	5	5	0
Small	14	31	5

* Does not total 100% due to non-classification by Morningstar®.

Portfolio Return (percentages)

	WIFR	CCBMFR*
2Q2021	3.44	6.98
YTD	24.12	15.20
2020	18.51	14.32
1 Year	63.46	43.53
Since inception	76.25	54.07
Since inception (ann.)	31.26	23.06
Quarters of outperformance	5/8	

Portfolio Statistics

	WIFR	CCBMFR*
Price/Earnings	15.01	22.68
Price/Book	2.82	3.17
Price/Sales	1.02	2.41
Price/Cash Flow	7.31	14.72
Avg. Capitalization	8.60	72.83
Volatility	30.93	19.45
Dividend Yield	1.02	1.45
Avg. MS™ Rating†	3.00	n/a
Avg. Zacks™ Rating	2.40	n/a

Diversification (percentages)

Sectors	WIFR	CCBMFR*
Consumer Defensives	4.48	6.32
Healthcare	10.46	13.93
Utilities	0.00	3.09
Communication Services	0.00	8.66
Energy	9.79	3.69
Industrials	4.31	11.05
Technology	23.89	18.82
Basic Materials	4.98	3.44
Consumer Cycles	27.84	10.82
Financial Services	14.24	15.71
Real Estate	0.00	4.47
International	13.85	10.91

Wallick Investments Bond Composite




Global Investment
Performance
Standards®
Presentation

	Gross Return	Net Return	AGG Benchmark Return	#Portfolios	Dispersion*	Total Assets (million)	% of Firm Assets
2008 (April 1- Dec. 31)	-2.17	-3.17	5.14	36	2.86	2.48	22.25
2009	10.76	9.46	3.30	37	3.85	3.34	24.33
2010	4.53	3.28	6.36	46	1.91	3.15	20.71
2011	6.20	4.99	7.69	34	2.70	2.28	14.13
2012	7.22	6.04	3.76	48	1.67	3.21	15.58
2013	-3.87	-5.03	-1.98	52	1.25	2.56	9.27
2014	3.22	2.06	6.00	49	0.92	2.69	10.64
2015	-0.20	-1.36	0.48	49	0.60	5.33	16.73
2016	3.58	2.42	2.80	47	0.87	5.88	10.47
2017	3.65	2.49	3.56	49	2.13	7.91	11.94
2018	-0.05	-1.21	0.08	47	0.21	10.99	16.60
2019	8.33	7.17	8.68	181	1.83	12.84	20.59
2020	1.22	0.22	7.63	181	1.83	12.84	20.59
2021 2Q	3.58	3.33	1.82	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2021 YTD	0.17	-0.08	-1.63	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
1 Year	1.25	0.25	-0.38	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Annualized 3 Years	3.51	2.35	5.26	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Annualized 3 Years Standard Deviation (Ex-Post)	4.53	n/a	3.60	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Annualized 5 Years	2.34	1.18	2.92	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Annualized 5 Years Standard Deviation (Ex-Post)	3.77	n/a	3.38	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Annualized 10 Years	2.48	1.32	3.24	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Annualized 10 Years Standard Deviation (Ex-Post)	3.73	n/a	3.17	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Annualized since Inception	3.11	1.95	3.76	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Since Inception Annualized Standard Deviation	4.29	n/a	3.74	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Supplemental Information

* See page 7 for Composite Benchmark and further information.

Wallick Investments Bond vs. iShares Core US Aggregate Bond ETF (AGG)



Performance (cumulative)

	WIB	AGG
1 Year Return	1.25%	-0.38%
3 Year Return	10.90%	16.63%
5 Year Return	12.26%	15.48%
10 Year Return	27.76%	37.56%


Portfolio Statistics

	WIB	AGG
Duration (total portfolio)	6.18	6.56
Avg. Credit Quality	A	A
Volatility	3.58	3.58
Dividend Yield	2.02	1.95

Fixed-Income Investment Style %

	Short	Intermediate	Long
Quality			
High	0	21	0
Mid	0	79	0
Low	0	0	0

WI Equity Composite & Model Performance vs. Benchmarks



WI composite and model portfolios are developed and managed by Wallick Investments, LLC.

Composite performance is the actual average asset weighted return of all accounts managed according to a set strategy.

Model performance is the performance of a hypothetical account. It does not represent performance of an actual account or account(s).

Although Folio Investments client accounts may be subscribed to managed models, actual performance will vary due to timing and tax issues. Each client's portfolio holdings and weightings vary based on individual time frames and risk tolerance.

The portfolio models and composites display performance data to illustrate past performance and do not guarantee future results.

Performance as of June 30, 2021

Investment performance evaluation tool

Are your investments working for you?
Did you do better or worse than half of all mutual funds
reporting to Morningstar®?

For one-year performance through June 30, 2021:

Stock/Bonds Allocations*	Aggressive					Growth		Moderate			Conservative		Defensive	
	100	95/5	90/10	85/15	80/20	75/25	70/30	65/35	60/40	55/45	50/50	45/55	40/60	35/65
Performance of 50th Percentile	44.81	42.55	40.30	38.04	35.78	33.52	31.26	29.00	26.74	24.48	22.22	19.96	17.70	15.44

Example: The second column (95/5) denotes 95% of investments in stocks, with the remaining 5% in bonds. The 50th percentile return for that allocation was 42.55%. We believe a 1-yr. return of less than 42.55% for this allocation deserves review.*

Global Mutual Fund Categories Total Return (1-yr.)

US Stock Categories	
Large Growth	41.70%
Large Blend	40.47%
Large Value	42.88%
Mid Growth	48.17%
Mid Blend	50.44%
Mid Value	55.83%
Small Growth	55.69%
Small Blend	60.18%
Small Value	71.02%
International Small-Cap & Emerging	43.72%
International Large-Cap	33.42%
Weighted average** 50th percentile equity	44.28%
Bonds Index	-0.37%
Commodities Index	54.88%

*All stock allocations include 5% to the commodities index.

**5% International Small-Cap & Emerging, 10% International Large-Cap, 61% Large-Cap, 15% Mid-Cap and 9% Small-Cap.

Wallick Investments, LLC is proud to provide this evaluation reference tool to help investors assess their 1-yr. relative performance.

The data above and to the left has been obtained through Morningstar® and illustrates 50th percentile performance returns for different investment styles


and asset allocations. In other words, half of similar funds had higher returns than these numbers and half had lower returns.

When considering changing investment managers, investors should remember that long-term consistent performance matters far more than one-year returns. However, performance worse than the 50th percentile should always be questioned.

If performance based on a simple stock/bond allocation is below, or significantly above the 50th percentile, an investor should review their portfolio to ensure the performance anomaly was not due to significant bets (over concentration in individual securities, sectors or investment styles). If unusual performance is not due to bets, investor should dig deeper to determine what area or areas of their portfolio caused the anomaly. Potential areas which could cause a material deviation in performance are listed to the left.

Wallick Investments, LLC nationally-ranked composite portfolio performance can be found starting on page 9 or at

www.wallickinvestments.com



Wallick Investments welcomes opportunities to assist investors with performance evaluations. Contact us today for a complimentary review.

The Fiduciary Standard

In the world of finance, the fiduciary standard is the highest ethical standard for investment professionals. It legally requires investment professionals to keep the interests of their clients first, above their own interests as well as above those of their employers. Investment advisors attempting to achieve this standard limit their potential conflicts of interest and disclose possible conflicts that cannot be eliminated. Possible conflicts include compensation from sources other than the client, such as incentives from broker/dealers, mutual funds or insurance companies.

Prior to the 2017 Department of Labors' (DOL) new Fiduciary rules (vacated 2018), **the majority of investment professionals actually were held to a lesser standard by regulators called a suitability standard.** Under the suitability standard, advisors are free to sell any investment or insurance product that can be considered appropriate for the client's situation, not necessarily the best product or strategy, just an appropriate one.

Investment advisors bearing the fiduciary standard are expected to minimize their clients overall investment expenses while maximizing risk-adjusted returns and disclosing strategic processes used to manage their clients' accounts. Disclosures can include asset-allocation guidelines, diversification requirements, security-selection criteria, performance benchmarks and performance-monitoring procedures. Disclosures can be found in a client agreement, an investment policy statement and/or a Registered Investment Advisors mandatory ADV form, also called a disclosure brochure.

Obviously, there are many advantages to working with advisors who accept fiduciary responsibility; however, guaranteed success is not one of them. Advisors who accept the higher standard can select investments that perform poorly despite their best efforts on their clients' behalf. By the same token, advisors who do not accept the higher standard still can offer successful products and strategies. The major difference is an investment advisor accepting fiduciary responsibility is less likely to be making decisions for the wrong reasons.

Finding investment professionals who adhere to the fiduciary standard for all accounts is not always a simple task. Attorneys, Certified Public Accountants and Registered Investment Advisors are professionals who are always held to the fiduciary standard. Therefore, investors should ask their advisors for clarification about fiduciary responsibilities and investors should request that clarification in writing.



RIA ■ Strategic Moral Investing

1122 Lady Street, Suite 810 ■ Columbia, SC 29201

info@WallickInvestments.com ■ 803.699.9400 ■ 803.699.9490_{fax}

Wallick Investments Fidelis Composite



Overall Morningstar Rating™

for risk-adjusted performance

among 139 Mid-Cap Blend products as of June 30, 2021.†



www.WallickInvestments.com

Portrait: ©Callie G Photography
Sunset: ©RIMPhotography.com
Stock photography: ©istockphoto.com

© 2021 Wallick Investments, LLC

† ©2021 Morningstar, Inc. All rights reserved.
See inside front cover.